

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/424,347 07/18/2000 HITOSHI ENDOU 49429 7848 21874 02/24/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 **EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP** MURPHY, JOSEPH F P.O. BOX 55874 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02205 1646

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/424,347	ENDOU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph F Murphy	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2004.		
·= ·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17, 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate. <u>02222005</u> .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Formal Matters

Claims 17, 19-21 are pending and under consideration.

The attached Interview Summary indicates that an attempt was made to agree on language that would put the claims in condition for allowance with Applicant's Attorney Christine Oday. The Examiner thanks Attorney Oday for her diligence, however, agreement with regards to the claim language could not be timely reached, and this action is set forth.

Response to Amendment

The rejections over claims 17, 19-21 have been obviated by Applicant's amendment and are thus withdrawn.

New issues are set forth below.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: the method steps are listed with number identifiers. This is confusing, and would be clarified by identifying the method steps using letters. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/424,347

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 19-20 are vague and indefinite in the recitation wherein the protein is "isolated from human" and "isolated from kidney". Presumably, the claim is directed to a method wherein the protein comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 is encoded by a nucleic acid isolated form a human or isolated from kidney.

Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that the method makes use of two oocytes, but they are not clearly labeled "first oocyte" or "second oocyte".

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the claim does not contain a comparison step. This rejection could be obviated by adding language such as "and comparing the amount of organic anion transported to the amount of organic anion transported in the absence of said compound".

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: an identification step. This rejection could be obviated by adding a limitation such as "as measured in step (c), wherein a test compound which reduces the amount of organic anion transported is considered an inhibitor of organic anion uptake".

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message

Application/Control Number: 09/424,347

Art Unit: 1646

may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Caputa, can be reached on (571) 272-0829.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646 February 22, 2005 JOSEPH MURPHY PATENT EXAMINER